Case 2:15-cr-00168-MRH Document 50 Filed 11/05/15 Page 1 of 4 United States District Court for the western bythat of pennylvamia United States of Homerica, 15CR 168 Frederick Bimks, Defendant MOTION TO OISMISS under the Speedy trial Act 18 USC \$ 3161; Auro Notien to Suppreur

Defendant Frederick Banks moved the court to bismuss for violations of the 6th Amendment Right to a Speedy Truit and 18 USC + 3161 the Speedy Truit Act and Moves to Suppress the unlawful

and unreadenable learch under the 4th Amendment and represents as follows;
Motion to District 18 USC & 3161; 6th Amendment Rights to a speedy Truic

I. Defendant was indicted in this case. At the Arraignment and bond hearing on 8/11/15 Defendant Stood up and puto, the record that he did not authorize counted to file any notions and that he wanted his 70 day speedy true.

- 2. In Subsequent conversations with counsel he resterated this fact. He toll counsel to file a "Notice of Appeal" of the bond hourny but do not file any motions. Defendant did not want the speedy trial clock to Stop. On August 26,2015 counsel filed a Notion to Review and vacate order of detention! Counted did not inform Defendant that he filed this motion until 4/26/15. On 4/1/15 the court appeared Dr. wetteren and usued an order Stoping the speedy trul clock and excluding the delay.
- 3. The order was inopposite of Referdants statements to counsel on and off the record as a result the delay is not excludeble under the speedy Trial Clock and the Indictment must be dimissed with prejudice. It was repetitions for counter to seek an evaluation by wettiken when he already completed one on Nov 2013 and the government already filed a copy of it in this case, refer dunt to ld counsel that he made no bones about talking to weftsten as long as it was done while on bond and it did not affect the 170 day speedy this cleck. Counsel ignored pependunts request. Defendant teld counsel that he had to be completed with the case by october 3112015 a wiccan Holday "Jamhain"

Motion to Bupper

on 8/7/15 The FBF conducted a Search by Warrant of Defendants Replace. The Jewich conducted of the person was warrantless and occurred outs, de the residence after personal was arrested. As a result the search of segendents person was unreasonable and without probable coule and those served went, the iphone is, the wallet, the keys must all he suppressed and the \$95.00 returned. Allin violeton of the 4th Amendment.

5. There was a 150 no probable could be learn rependents rendence and that search was unreasonable and was not even supported by an affidavit. There was no affidavit attached to the search and seizure warrant that was conducted by over do FBJ officers and two teams.

Case 2:15-cr-00168-MRH Document 50 Filed 11/05/15 Page 2 of 4

- 6. The Directment did not give the FBJ probable cause to Search wedendanti residence because the Indictment did not mention compting about any crime being committed at the Residence mor was the Indictment attacked to the learn warrant. It clear Violation of the 4th Amendment. All of the Evidence must be duppressed.
- 7. The dudge did not even Seal the interrant with his Stamp or Jurat and as stated the warranted is not supported by any path or Affirmation. It was an abuse of discretion to allow the FBF to conduct a leaven on Juch a flinty warrant where the Defendant claimed for over ten years both letters and Lawfults that the so called "Victim" of the Indictment had det him up by improper Cenduct.
- 8. Accordingly, All the evidence must be suppressed.
- 9. Another issue is that the U.S. Afterney's office has unfortunally been sending out Grand Tury Subpoenes in this case and others in violation of the 5th Amendment Grand Tury Clause. The Grand Tury is an independent investigative body and only it can issue and decide who or what endence it wishes to beek by Grand dury dubpoene. In this cost the US Afterney's Office not the Grand Tury Sent Subpoenes to Sprint and Lantender and injected the Grand Jury process itself and the indictment replaced.

 Preparament moves for the Grand Jury Transcripts and for dismuscil of the Indictment, with Rejudice.
- 10. A hearing on these missins should be set at the time of trial. A prompt trial date should be set.

Wherefore, the foregoing Motions should be granted. The Indictment Should be distinused with prejudice and all the exidence suppressed, sependant notes that the two transcribed calls he allegedly made have nothing to do with this case, one is to a AWA in separate litigation and the external to AVAT paul Hill asking him to investigate Timothy principly for setting up sependant. At the bond hearing tudge fresto abused his discretion when he cited these cashs as a reason to deny bond in this case.

Respectfully deboundled

Frederick Banges

Jac 1759

Allegten County Jak

To second Ano

Pittsburgh IPP 15219

Defendant

Case 2:15-cr-00168-MRH Document 50 Filed 11/05/15 Page 3 of 4 Vecluration of Froncisk Banks

- I from k Banks under persury state as follows;
- I. Two calls I allegedly made to two AUSAI have noting to do with this case and there are no threats on the Call. one was Call to an Aust in special lityation and the other was a request for faul thick to investigate Timothy principly for setting me up as alloged in USAV. Banks, 03-CR-245.
- I was arrested at my reddence outside. Offer I was arrested my, tems were lessed to had on my person. I had an iphere 45, a wallet \$45.00, and my keys. An FOT agent asked one to tell him which key was used to enter the residence. I told him "I'm not guring you consent to learch" He Daled he had a warrant. I asked to see the warrant. He reputed to show me the warrant which violated Fed, p. Gren. Proc. 410g).
- 3. The bearth which was conducted with Let eigents and wrest the where I was hundriffed to tright I pleaded with the agents to locken the cuffs all the way to the Courthouse was impersonable, when I asked this the FBI agent thinks under the PBI agent thinks underfed I had a large red welt accorded both my writts. I was seen where I into a Countroom where everyone was a trendy wanting as if I were grand theatre.
- 4. The residence secrets was conducted on 8/7/W I did not receive a copy of the warrant until 10/26/15 when Adrian Roe, ESQ mailed it to me at Alleglany County Jail.
- 5. De entire case how been one dualter upler emotion. Howher repetitive evaluation, the begging of the speedy trul clock against my wales and will, the Contrast bember directly CIA wireless signary, the detire of the USA to medicate me and blatent refusal to unsertigate my conflict of CIA electronic harastinent, the darying of bond based on two Cuills that have nothing to do with the costs, the lending of Grand Jury disposeres not by the Grand Jury but by the productor, the 6 ments quest to get my property returned, and the victim in the costs to receive compensation for the setup and cuse fixing by the Claimed daily at Or. weststein in film the second report.

 Executed this 2 th day of November, to 15 under the penalty for person.

11/2/15

Bredenik Banks

Case 2:15-cr-00168-MRH Document 50 Filed 11/05/15 Page 4 of 4

I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of November, 2015 I deried a true and correct Copy of the foreyoning by mind delinent upon the following;

Office of the US 19thy
Your US Commune
700 Grand St.
PHHShughapp 15219

Frederick Banks